



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,887	02/22/2002	Andreas Lubbertus Aloysius Johannes Dekker	41942-04500	9941
7590 03/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Kent A. Fischmann, Esq. 3151 South Vaughn Way, Suite 411 Aurora, CO 80014		KREMER, MATTHEW J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	•
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/17/200/	1 11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/081,887	DEKKER, ANDREAS LUBBERTUS ALOYSIUS JOHA				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J Kremer	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. □ Other: Aching SPE An 3 73 6						
	Ach	275PE				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant had amended the rejected claims so that a pleth waveform signal was obtained, transformed, and processed. In regard to claims 1, 17, and 37, the Applicant's arguments relied upon the assertion that Childre does not teach that a pleth waveform signal was obtained, transformed, and processed as presented in the proposed amended claims. These amendments were not considered during the examination of the present application and constitutes new issues. In regard to claim 22, besides relying on the new issues raised by the proposed amendments, the Applicant contends Childre teaches away from the claim 22 because Childre does not disclose or suggest generating heart rate variability information from spectral signal from monitoring purposes. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Childre et al. teaches a method of obtaining a time-based pleth signal (reference numeral 42 in Fig. 7B), transforming the signal into a frequency domain (reference numeral 60 in Fig. 7B), a first processing that results in EP which is information indicative of heart rate (reference numerals 66-74 in Fig. 7 C), a second processing to obtain the EP score which is information regarding heart rate variability (reference numeral 78 in Fig. 7C) and monitioring the EP score to identify a characteristic of interest (a harmonious balance between the two branches of the autonomic nervous system within the body)(Abstract of Childre).